IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.754 OF 2006
IN
SUMM.SUIT NO.602 OF 2003

Malti Hiralal Chavan .. Plaintiff

vs

1. Meena Raghunath Gaikwad & anr.. Defendants

Mr. H.S.Shreepadmurthy for Defendant

Mr.Rajiv Matkar for Applicant

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J

DATE : 12th April, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard counsel for the parties. Although this Chamber Summons is opposed by the plaintiff, in my opinion, in the interest of justice Chamber Summons deserves to be allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) in as much as although this court has granted four weeks time to the defendants to file written statement in terms of order dated 23rd August, 2005. However, it is not in dispute that the suit was never placed for filing written statement thereafter.

2. In the circumstances, by way of indulgence, Chamber Summons is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) on condition that defendants shall file written statement by tomorrow in the registry which may be accepted by office and taken on record.

(A.M.Khanwilkar, J)